



Warsaw, 23.07.2019 r.

**Muzeum Sztuki Nowoczesnej
w Warszawie
ul. Pańska 3, 00-124 Warszawa
newbuilding@artmuseum.pl**

**To the Participants
of the Architectural Competition**

Subject: Competition to develop the concept of a view point on the construction site of the Museum of Modern Art in Warsaw

Question No 5

Can second-year students at the Polytechnic participate in the competition? Whether it's just a visualisation of the concept or each of the participants has to make plans and plans for the whole building.

Answer to Question 5

The Contracting Authority explains, that second-year students at a polytechnic may take part in a competition if they satisfy the conditions set out in Chapter IV, point 1, subpoint 8:

- a) are of legal age,
- b) were born after 01/01/1990.
- c) are University students or graduates with the following degrees:
 - fine arts and design,
 - architecture,
 - civil engineering.

The concept should be presented in a manner enabling the clearest possible representation of the idea contained in the Competition work, by means of the forms proposed in Chapter II, point 4, subpoint 2.b (projections, elevations and characteristic sections on a scale of 1:50, elevation details and views, visualizations, sketches) in a sufficient quantity, according to the participant, to enable an unambiguous understanding of the idea and verification of meeting the framework conditions specified in the regulations.

At the same time, it is obligatory to prepare a land development project on the map in the scale 1:200 (Appendix C2) according to point 4.2.a of Chapter II.

Question No 6

I am writing to you with a few questions about the competition announced by the Museum of Modern Art in Warsaw for a temporary viewing point, next to the construction site of the building in the institution.

Can architecture engineers and master's students of architecture take part in the competition?

Can an architectural firm consisting of architecture students with the title of engineer be represented in the competition?

Answer to Question 6

The Contracting Authority explains, that both first- and second-cycle graduates in architecture may take part in the competition if they also satisfy the other conditions set out in Chapter IV, point 1, subpoint 8:

a) are of legal age,

b) were born after 01/01/1990.

The competition is also open to architectural firms which include architectural students who hold the title of engineer if at least one of those firms also satisfies the conditions set out in point 1.8 of Chapter IV.1 cited above.

Question No 7

I would like to ask you about a few points from the regulations:


1) "At the same time, the condition for receiving the main prize is the possibility of implementing the facility on the basis of the winning concept up to the amount of PLN 45,000 net.


"5) A member of the Competition Jury representing Warbud S.A. has the right to veto the selection of the project, the implementation of which in the judge's opinion will exceed the amount of 45,000 PLN net. Is the given amount of PLN 45,000 the cost of implementation in the meaning of the total cost which the contractor (Warbud S.A. company) wants to incur and it is called 45,000 net or the cost of PLN 45,000 + PLN X gross (e.g. PLN 55,000). It is not unequivocally determined in what amount we have to deal with, because there was not given the gross amount that includes the total implementation.

Answer to Question 7

The Contracting Authority explains, that the indicated amount for the execution is PLN 45,000 net, which corresponds to PLN 55,350 gross and it is the amount allocated for construction works.


2.) I would like to ask you to clarify the concept of the borderline of implementation: "land development project within the limits specified on the information board (Annex C1) in the scale 1:200;". Only the location of the viewpoint has been marked on the map. The border mentioned on the information board is missing, because it is not clear that the border of the PZT and the location is the same area. I would like to ask you to specify the land development project in the dwg file. 2) Land use guidelines Land use around the viewpoint is left to the participants. Is the location of the point rigidly determined and must it be a 5x5m square with an area of 25m². Can it be e.g. 12.5x2=25m²? Using this provision we would like to ask if the suspensions can extend beyond the delimited area of 5x5m.





The Contracting Authority explains, that the area occupied by the viewpoint may not exceed the square 5x5m² marked in the attached DWG file.

3.) The object will be located on an elevation, which will allow a natural view of the construction site, without the need to create additional viewing platforms. However, it is allowed to elevate a part of the floor, not higher than 2 (two) metres above the surrounding area, with the assumption that its main part will be at the ground level and will be accessible to people with disabilities. 2 metres above the existing terrain is defined as the height of the terrain or is the elevation height 0.00 point and from it should be measured maximum 2.0 metres? Currently, in the area designated for the viewing point there is a hardened surface of the car park with access, elevated approximately 2m above the area designated for the new museum building. This area is generally accessible and adjacent to the fenced construction area I understand that the height of 2m is measured from the elevation level? Is it from the ground level? Is it possible to hang outside the elevation? Alternatively, can the space of the stairs be used to locate another space there? According to this provision, can a point 2.00 m above the ground be located, and thanks to the suspension reach a total of 4 m?



The Contracting Authority explains, that the reference point for the indicated height dimensions should be the level of the parking platform, which is at the ordinate of approximately 36.60 m above the W. At the same time, the Contracting Authority explains that it does not allow the location of a viewing point outside the designated area specified in Appendix C1.

"a location for the installation of a 100 x 70 cm information board." Is the orientation of the information board horizontal/vertical? 100cm vertical x 70cm horizontal? Is it a discretion ?

The Contracting Authority leaves discretion regarding this case.

Additionally, the location of the power supply point should be indicated. Is it enough to show the connection line to the nearest electricity grid on the land development project?

The Contracting Authority explains, that it is necessary to indicate only the location of the point, i.e. the place to which the power supply is to be supplied. The electricity supply route will be determined at a later stage by the designers of Warbud S.A.

Is it possible to enter a construction student or an education in the qualification column of Appendix A4?

The Contracting Authority explains, that the "qualification" section can be used for ongoing studies, such as "construction student".

What should be entered in the column Character of work participation?

The Contracting Authority explains, that this column allows to determine the division of responsibility for particular parts of the project in case of author's group.

In Appendix A7, if the participant is a natural person, the heading related to the registered office may be omitted or the address of residence may be entered ?

The Contracting Authority explains, that this box should contain the contact address.

f) in relation to the Competition Organizer or members of the Competition Jury, their legal deputies or members of the management bodies or supervisory bodies, before the lapse of 3 years from the day of announcement of this competition, he remained in an employment or commission relationship, Has no error been made in this point and should be TO instead of the word FROM. Otherwise, each of the distinguished persons breaks this provision by being a trainee of the Competition Members during a period of 3 years.

The Contracting Authority explains, that in the cited paragraph one should understand, of course, remaining in the employment relationship or order for a period of 3 years until the announcement of the competition, i.e. between 10.07.2016 and 10.07.2019.

points f) and g) stay with the Competition Organizer or members of the Competition Jury in such a legal or factual relationship that it may raise doubts as to the impartiality of these persons. Is it tantamount to being excluded from the fact of doubt as to the impartiality of the Competition Jury when being in the course of a traineeship in a company that is a member of the Competition Jury?

The Contracting Authority explains, that a paid internship in a company which is a member of the Competition Jury excludes from the possibility of participating in the competition, provided that the internship period began before 10.07.2019.

Question No 8

we would like to take a part in competition to develop the concept of a view point on the construction site of the Museum of Modern Art in Warsaw. I have some question about participation:

1. Is it possible to take a part if not all architects in our team were born late 1990?

2. Should all members send their identity cards (passport)?

Answer to Question 8

The Contracting Authority explains that:

- A team of architects can take part in the competition if there is a minimum of one person meeting the requirements listed in chapter IV point 1. 8 a) b) and c) among them.
- There is no need to send the identity cards and/or passports.